January, 11, 2017 - Minutes

Recording of this call


Members Council Meeting Report (Kevin)

- November 30 meeting at UCF
  - 2017-18 statewide collection for e-resources - update on budgeting decisions and journal packages
  - Update on Sierra Encore training
  - Executive Committee is meeting on branding for the new system
  - Claire Dygart discussed her Digital Initiatives report however she has left the position so it’s tabled until she is replaced
    - Digital Services survey idea has been tabled (that came through Kate Nevins)

DCWG update (Jamie)

- Jamie shared a list of questions and answers as regards to Encore training held recently
- Related to the process of harvesting digital collections, some of our specific questions are:
  - Is there a process for de-duplication of harvested digital collections? Answer - There is no de-duplication of records—OAI-PMH harvesting provides an indexed link to exact external content
  - How do updates and deletions work? Answer - All record editing is done in the original repository, and subsequent harvests update or delete records according to the OAI-PMH protocol
  - Can we set a schedule for harvesting? Answer – Yes, you can set a regular schedule to get updates from each repository
  - Are records overlaid or is the database re-written? Answer - deletions and updates are processed according to the OAI-PMH protocol. (A complete new harvest is NOT done every time if the repository settings are correct—the repository itself controls what is harvested, and if it only publishes updates, that is what is harvested)

- Related to what the public will see in Encore Duet with regard to harvested collections, specific questions are:
  - Can searches be limited or scoped by the repository/collection? (e.g., pre-search limiting to a repository or post-search limiting to a repository). Answer – Yes, each repository can appear as its own value in the Collection facet—the Innovative team will need to do additional configuration to make the collection facet show for each suite, so it could affect other display decisions. At the appropriate time, the Innovative team can discuss in detail these options with the
working group, since it affects how each suite is setup to recognize its “own” data. [Cherie note: FALSC is coordinating with Innovative regarding a meeting to discuss display decisions.]

- Does/can each repository have its own home page? Answer – repository is kept outside Encore, in Encore you just search and view results, one tab for all repositories, collection facet distinguishes between repositories.
- Does the repository name appear as a search facet in the union discovery interface and, if so, which search facet? Answer – yes, in the collections facet (It doesn’t appear in a “search” facet like in advanced search, but it can appear as a “refine” value after a search is done, in the Collections facet)
- Is there an ability to present collection and sub collection facets for each separate digital repository and, if so, how are those presented to the public? Answer - This is not currently possible in Encore
- If a digital repository is configured in Encore is there an opportunity to contribute that metadata to EDS as well, assuming that inclusion in EDS would make the metadata available to all EDS users? Answer - This would be a question that EBSCO would have to answer for you.

- Wilhelmina asked as things come up in the working group that FALSC be kept in the loop as they may have tools that will help with some of the harvesting or other issues with how Encore will work with digital collections. Also, there is concern about how the new system will meet the legislative mandate about a searchable database for digital collections.
- Jamie notes that digital collections is one aspect of many that the working group is tackling; Jamie does her best and brings anything back that she has to this group. If anyone is working on something specifically that you think is important for this working group, feel free to contact Jamie directly.
- Keep in mind, what system will be used to expose digital collections is still undecided by this working group.

**FLVC Update**

- Jamie reported FLVC/FALSC staff are meeting with Triple-I tomorrow
- Wilhelmina reported on two projects in Islandora:
  - batch MODS editing functionality (Phase 1 - possible ETA is summer 2017 //Phase 2 will then work on a UI for such functionality)
  - IR Project Phase 2 (best practices for the software that was developed last fall). FLIRT will be meeting this month; a face to face meeting should be planned next month.
DISC Member Announcements (All)

- Joanne (FAU) reported that they linked Omeka to their Islandora production repository. They have not tested it there yet but it was working on the test site prior to the move. FGCU is also working on this as well. Look for an update on this next meeting.
- Matthew (FSU) reported on his magical metadata workflows that he would like to share with the larger community. Jamie noted that DISC would be a great forum for him to share; she will coordinate with Matthew to had him to a future agenda. Lee suggested that be either at beginning or end of an agenda so others who aren’t usually on the call could join for that information.